STATE OF CONNECTICUT



AUDITORS' REPORT CHARTER OAK STATE COLLEGE FOUNDATION INC. FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

AUDITORS OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS KEVIN P. JOHNSTON & ROBERT G. JAEKLE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Directors Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying statement of financial position of the Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc., (the Foundation) as of June 30, 2005, and the related statements of activities, functional expenses, and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Foundation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Foundation as of June 30, 2005, and the change in net assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

Kevin P. Johnston Auditor of Public Accounts Robert G. Jaekle Auditor of Public Accounts

May 22, 2007 State Capitol Hartford, Connecticut

CHARTER OAK STATE COLLEGE FOUNDATION, INC. STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 279,3	48
Investments (note 3)	701,9	19
Computer equipment (note 4)	52,0	17
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(46,9	59)
Unconditional promises to give, net (note 5)	29,60	62
Other receivables	93	38
Other assets	 62	25
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ <u>1,017,</u>	<u>550</u>
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS		
LIABILITIES	\$	0
NET ASSETS:		
Unrestricted	25,	167
Temporarily restricted (note 6)	125,4	440
Permanently restricted (note 7)	 866,9	943

\$ 1,017,550

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

CHARTER OAK STATE COLLEGE FOUNDATION, INC. STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

REVENUES AND SUPPORT:	Un	restricted		emporarily estricted		ermanently Restricted		Total
Contributions	\$	16,095	\$	71,640	\$	58,506	\$	146,241
Investment income	φ	2,035	φ	19,107	φ	56,506	φ	21,142
Gain/(loss) on investments, net		2,035		29,645		-		29,645
Net assets released from restrictions		-		29,040		-		29,040
Restrictions satisfied by payments		67,275		(67,275)		_		-
TOTAL REVENUES AND SUPPORT		85,405		53,117		58,506		197,028
		00,400		33,117		30,300		197,020
EXPENSES:								
Program services								
Scholarships and grants		11,390		-		-		11,390
Women in transition		51,623		-		-		51,623
Program Innovations		3,400		-		-		3,400
Supporting services								
General and administrative		2,406		-		-		2,406
Fund raising		3,891		-		-		3,891
TOTAL EXPENSES		72,710						72,710
CHANGE IN NET ASSETS		12,695		53,117		58,506		124,318
NET ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	\$	12,472	\$	72,323	\$	808,437	\$	893,232
NET ASSETS, END OF YEAR	\$	25,167	\$	125,440	\$	866,943	\$	1,017,550

CHARTER OAK STATE COLLEGE FOUNDATION, INC. STATEMENT OF FUNCTIONAL EXPENSES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

	Program Services Su				am Services Supporting Services			Supporting Services			
	cholarships Ind Grants		omen in ansition		ogram ovation		neral and hinistrative		und aising		Total
Scholarships and grants	\$ 11,390	\$	42,830	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	54,220
Computers and related services	-		4,348		-		-		-		4,348
Depreciation expense	-		3,654		-		-		-		3,654
Program development	-		-		3,400		-		-		3,400
Insurance	-		-		-		1,317		-		1,317
Postage	-		791		-		-		609		1,400
Office supplies and other expenses	 -		-		<u> </u>		1,089		3,282		4,371
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$ 11,390	\$	51,623	\$	3,400	\$	2,406	\$	3,891	\$	72,710

CHARTER OAK STATE COLLEGE FOUNDATION, INC. STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	
Change in net assets	\$ 124,318
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net	
cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	
Depreciation	3,654
Net unrealized gain on investments	(29,645)
Decrease in unconditional promises to give	69,526
Increase in other receivables	(130)
Total adjustments	43,405
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	167,723
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	
Payments for purchase of investments, net	(38,794)
Payments for purchase of computer equipment	(6,664)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(45,458)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	122,265
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - JUNE 30, 2004	157,083
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - JUNE 30, 2005	\$ 279,348

THE CHARTER OAK STATE COLLEGE FOUNDATION, INC. NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Nature of Activities:

The Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc., (the Foundation) operates exclusively for charitable and educational purposes and as such promotes interest in and support of open learning and credentialing in higher education. The Foundation solicits contributions of funds for the support of such activities.

Basis of Presentation:

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Net assets and revenues, expenses, gains, and losses are classified based upon the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions. Accordingly, net assets of the Foundation and changes therein are classified and reported as follows:

<u>Unrestricted net assets</u>--Net assets that are not subject to donor-imposed restrictions.

<u>Temporarily restricted net assets</u>--Net assets subject to donor imposed stipulations that may or will be met, either by actions of the Foundation and/or by the passage of time. When a restriction expires, temporarily restricted assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activities as net assets released from restrictions.

<u>Permanently restricted net assets</u>--Net assets subject to donor-imposed stipulations that may be maintained permanently by the Foundation. Generally, the donors of these assets permit the Foundation to use all or part of the income earned on any related investments for general or specified purposes.

Cash and Cash Equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash in checking and savings accounts, as well as highly liquid investments that are readily convertible into cash.

Contributions:

Contributions, including unconditional promises to give, are recorded as made. All contributions are available for unrestricted use unless specifically restricted by the donor. Unconditional promises to give due in the next year are recorded at their net realizable value. Unconditional promises to give due in subsequent years are reported at their present value of their net realizable value, using risk-free interest rates applicable to the years in which the promises are to be received.

Investments:

Investments in marketable securities with readily determinable fair values are valued at their fair values in the statement of financial position. Unrealized gains and losses are included in the change in net assets.

Income Taxes:

The Foundation is exempt from Federal income taxes under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c)(3).

NOTE 2 CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK:

The Foundation's deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$100,000. At June 30, 2005, there were no uninsured deposits.

NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS:

Investments are stated at fair value and consist of mutual funds.

	June 30, 2005	
Market Value	\$	701,919
Cost		681,687
Excess of market value over cost	\$	20,232

NOTE 4 COMPUTER EQUIPMENT:

The Foundation depreciates its computer equipment using the straight line method over a period of 36 months. The computer equipment category, shown on the Statement of Financial Position, consists of the following:

	June 30, 2005		
Computer equipment	\$	52,017	
Less accumulated depreciation		(46,959)	
Total	\$	5,058	

NOTE 5 PROMISES TO GIVE:

The State of Connecticut has established a Higher Education State Matching Grant Fund to be administered by the Department of Higher Education in accordance with Section 10a-8b of the General Statutes. Section 10a-143a of the General Statutes requires the Board for State Academic Awards (the Board) to establish a permanent Endowment Fund to encourage donations from the private sector. The net earnings on the endowment principal are dedicated and made available to Charter Oak State College for scholarships and programmatic enhancements. For the year audited, the State matched one half of the private funds raised for those endowment funds.

Based on the legislation, the Foundation has recognized the following amount for the year ended June 30, 2005:

Match receivable for calendar year 2004 contributions Match receivable for calendar year 2005 contributions, through June 30, 2005 Total	\$ 29,909 1,236 31,145
Unconditional promises to give at June 30, 2005, are as follows:	
Receivable due in less than one year Receivable due in one to five years Total promises to give Less: discount to net present value at 5% discount rate Net promises to give	\$ - 31,145 31,145 (1,483) 29,662

NOTE 6 TEMPORARILY RESTRICTED NET ASSETS:

Temporarily restricted net assets are available for the following purposes:

Book fund	\$ 133
Educational lectures	10,857
Other funds restricted for program purposes	32,265
Technology	11,742
Women in transition	 70,443
Total temporarily restricted net assets	\$ 125,440

NOTE 7 PERMANENTLY RESTRICTED NET ASSETS:

Permanently restricted net assets are restricted in perpetuity, the income of which is expendable to support the following purposes:

Book fund	\$ 1,493
Educational lectures	62,417
Other funds restricted for program purposes	419,377
Scholarships or grants	150,957
Technology	102,745
Women in transition	 129,954
Total permanently restricted net assets	\$ 866,943

NOTE 8 NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTIONS:

Temporarily restricted net assets were released from donor restrictions during the year by incurring expenses satisfying the restricted purpose specified by the donor. The temporarily restricted net assets released from restriction related to the following:

Purpose restrictions accomplished:	
Women in transition	\$ 51,623
Scholarships or grants	10,089
Other funds restricted for program purposes	 5,563
Net assets released from restrictions	\$ 67,275

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE

The Board of Directors Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc.:

We have audited the financial statements of the Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc. (the Foundation) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, and have issued our report dated May 22, 2007.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

Compliance with Sections 4-37e to 4-37k of the Connecticut General Statutes, any other laws, and internal controls relevant to the Foundation is the responsibility of the Foundation's management. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the Foundation's compliance with Sections 4-37e to 4-37k of the Connecticut General Statutes. However, the objective of our audit of the financial statements was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with such statutes. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests disclosed certain instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. We noted that the Foundation did not fully comply with Section 4-37f, subsection (7), of the General Statutes. This Section requires that such foundations use generally accepted accounting principles in their financial record-keeping and reporting. We found that the Foundation routinely did not report the value of certain receivables in its financial statements. In particular, we noted that the Foundation did not include the value of unconditional promises to give in its financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. This matter is further discussed in the "Management Letter" section of this report.

This report is intended for the information of the Board of Directors and any applicable regulatory body. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Kevin P. Johnston Auditor of Public Accounts Robert G. Jaekle Auditor of Public Accounts

May 22, 2007 State Capitol Hartford, Connecticut

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL BASED UPON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Board of Directors Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc.:

We have audited the financial statements of the Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc, (the Foundation), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, and have issued our report dated May 22, 2007.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

The management of the Foundation is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Foundation's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that would be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we noted other matters involving internal control over financial reporting that are described in the accompanying Management Letter.

This report is intended for the information of the Board of Directors. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Kevin P. Johnston Auditor of Public Accounts Robert G. Jaekle Auditor of Public Accounts

May 22, 2007 State Capitol Hartford, Connecticut

MANAGEMENT LETTER

The Board of Directors Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc.:

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc. for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, we considered the Foundation's internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control. We noted no matters involving the internal accounting control structure and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses in accordance with the standards referred to above. However, during our audit, we became aware of certain matters that present opportunities for strengthening internal controls.

Our comments and suggestions, which we have already discussed with various personnel affiliated with the Foundation, are summarized below:

Recording of Revenues and Support:

Criteria:	Sound internal controls over revenue require that receipts be recorded appropriately, in the correct account, and according to donor intent to ensure proper reporting of revenue.
Condition:	We noted 11 instances in which donations designated for certain purposes by the donor were recorded into an incorrect general ledger account. These exceptions amounted to \$1,635, or 1.1 percent of total donor contributions of \$146,241 in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.
Effect:	Improper classification of donations received could lead to the expenditure of donations in a manner inconsistent with donor intent.
Cause:	It appears that the exceptions noted might have been the result of human error during the posting of Foundation receipts to the general ledger.
Recommendation:	The Foundation should consider periodically reviewing its

records to ensure that donations designated by donors for specific
purposes are properly recorded in the appropriate ledger account.
Further, the Foundation should make adjustments for any posting
errors noted.

Auditee's Response: "We will strengthen internal controls over proper identification of receipts by requiring the Foundation accountant to periodically review deposit detail with the Dean of Development, Marketing and Enrollment Services. Journal entries have been made to correct posting errors found by the Auditors."

Supporting Documentation for Disbursements:

Criteria:	Sound internal controls over cash disbursements require that transactions be supported by proper documentation such as canceled checks, receipts or invoices.
Condition:	Though, on the surface, none of the disbursements that we tested appeared inappropriate, of 178 disbursements reviewed, we noted 29 instances, or 16 percent, in which the Foundation could not provide us with a receipt, an invoice, or other equivalent supporting documentation for disbursements made.
Effect:	In some instances the Foundation's internal controls over expenditures were weekened. Such instances could part doubt on

- expenditures were weakened. Such instances could cast doubt on the propriety of disbursements made.
- *Cause:* It appears that in various instances supporting documentation for disbursements made was either not obtained or not kept on file by the Foundation.
- *Recommendation:* The Foundation should take steps to ensure that it retains adequate supporting documentation for cash disbursements made.
- Auditee's Response: "Many of the instances of inadequate documentation cited in the above finding were payments made to the College for tuition and fees of students supported by the Women in Transition Program. Invoices from the College to the Foundation were not always prepared for these students. Since auditors indicated their concerns during their field work we have improved the documentation of the payments made."

Financial Statement Reporting:

Criteria: To provide meaningful and accurate information to decision makers and other users of financial information, financial statements should be prepared using generally accepted accounting principles. Such principles call for the reporting of all accruals, including receivables.

Condition: We were informed that the Foundation routinely omitted unconditional promises to give from its financial statements. Effect: The Foundation's financial statements failed to report unconditional promises to give for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. This unreported amount, totaling \$29,622 discounted at a net present value rate of 5 per cent annually, represented funds due from the State pursuant to the State's higher education endowment fund matching program. Cause: It was the Foundation's practice to exclude certain receivables from its financial statements. Recommendation: The Foundation should use generally accepted accounting principles in its financial record keeping and reporting. Auditee's Response: "Because of the uncertainty of the Foundation's ability to collect the entire amount of the receivables we have not included receivables in our financials preferring to record them upon receipt. However, we recognize that this policy is not in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and have decided to include receivables in our financial statements starting with the 2006-2007 fiscal year."

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we wish to express our appreciation for the courtesies shown to our representatives during the course of our audit. The assistance and cooperation extended to them by members of the Charter Oak State College Foundation, Inc. greatly facilitated the conduct of this examination.

Daniel F. Puklin Principal Auditor

Approved:

Kevin P. Johnston Auditor of Public Accounts Robert G. Jaekle Auditor of Public Accounts